

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/847,084	05/03/2001	Takashi Oishi	206576US3	6987
22850 75	590 03/28/2002	ere.		
		MAIER & NEUSTADT PC	EXAMINER	
FOURTH FLOOR 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202	VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/847,084

Applicant(s)

TAKASHI OISHI

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit **3635**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EX THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	PIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM	
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply be considered timely. 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will	
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w communication.	ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this	
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 3, 2001	<u> </u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is n	on-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua		
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.	
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-9</u>	is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.	
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) X The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) 📈 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:		
1. 🛛 Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received.	
2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have been		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau (PC*) *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies. 	T Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority		
74/2 Nakhawiagamant is made of a significant assessed priority	, 2,122, 33 312, 21 31 31 31 31	
Attachment(s)		
	8) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 20) 20	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
77) A milorination disclosure statement(s) (F10-1445) Paper (10(s)	Juliot.	

Application/Control Number: 09/847,084 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not clearly define what is and/or what is meant by "draw forming". Although "draw forming" in mentioned throughout the specifications several times, there is not clear definition as to what is intended by "draw forming". In this, the claims are incomprehensible Clarification is required.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

Yvonne M. Horton Patent Examiner Art Unit 3635 March 18, 2002